

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 22, 2004, Applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group II (claims 1, 2 and 6-22), with traverse.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider his Restriction Requirement because both groups of claims are drawn to subject matter which are so related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner by maintaining both groups of claims in a single application. See, e.g., MPEP § 803.

Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: _____

July 1, 2004

By: _____

G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,082

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600
GGS/slm